PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

OHTSUKA, Yasunori

FL., SHUWA KTOTCHO BLDG., 3-6, KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, Tokyo 1020094 Japan

HTSUKA PAT

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

28, 06, 2005 (day/month/year) FOR KURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

P205-0115WO

International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/007282 08.04.2005 19.04.2004

Date of mailing

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Int.Cl.7 G06F13/00, 3/12

Applicant

CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

Box No. II Priority

Box No III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion 14	1.06.2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer	5R	3457
Japan Patent Office	Yoshiharu Kobayashi		
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-891	5, Japan Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3	3565	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/007282

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A	UTHORITY	PCT/JP2005/007282
Box No. I Basis of the opinion		
With regard to the language, this opinion has be which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under		he international application in the language is
		riginal language into the following language for the purposes of international search (unde
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amine acclaimed invention, this opinion has been established.		nternational application and necessary to th
a. type of material		
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the sequence listing	S	
b. format of material	•	
in written format		
in computer readable form		I'
c. time of filing/furnishing		•
contained in the international application	on as filed.	
filed together with the international ap-	plication in computer readable	form.
furnished subsequently to this Authorit	ty for the purposes of search.	
	hat the information in the subs	e listing and/or table relating thereto has bee equent or additional copies is identical to the as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:		
•		
		· ·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/007282

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(1) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability cltations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES	
	Claims		NO NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES	
	Claims `	1-11	NO NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES	
	Claims		NO NO	

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1:JP 2003-006133 A (CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA)

10.01.2003, column 79-92

& US 2002/0156947 Al, columns 97-114

Document 2:JP 2004-078359 A (NIIGATA CANOTECH KABUSIKI KAISHA)

11.03.2004, column 80, Fig 14 (Family: none)

Document 3:JP 2000-181656 A (FUJI XEROX KABUSIKI KAISHA)

30.06.2000, column 3 (Family: none)

The proxy server disclosed in Document 1 can act as an intermediary between the printer, which can handle the SNMP protocol but can't handle UPnP protocol, and the client PC.

The print server disclosed in Document 2 can show a multifunctional device as two or more devices corresponding to each function.

The gateway disclosed in Document 3 can convert a protocol, when the protocols of I/O devices (printer, scanner, and so on) and clients differ.

All of the documents listed above are concerned with the device management system and the skilled person in the art would easily combine the feature disclosed in Document 1, 2 and 3.

Thus, the subject matter of claim 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11 has no inventive steps over Document 1 and 2, and the subject matter of claim 2, 3 has no inventive steps over Document 1, 2 and 3.

The exclusion method disclosed in claim 6 isn't disclosed in above documents, but it would be trivial for the skilled person in the art to prevent duplicate storing.

The responding method based on printer languages disclosed in claim 8 isn't disclosed clearly in above documents, but the printer language is the one of the most important functions of printers and the skilled person in the art could easily select which kind of function to use. Thus, the subject matter of claim 6 and 8 has no inventive steps.